

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,218	02/09/2004	Hiroshi Tsurumi	248536USRD DIV	6747
22850	850 7590 08/08/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			NGUYEN, DUNG X	
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDR	E STREET DRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	 ,		2638	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/773,218	TSURUMI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung X. Nguyen	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _am	endment filed on 15 March 200	5			
•					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,8,9,12 and 13 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,8,9,12 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objects of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Solution is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) □ All b) ⊠ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document 2. □ Certified copies of the priority document 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the second state of the second state of the second secon	cation No bived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	l Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed on March 15, 2005 have been fully considered. Claims 3 – 7, 10, and 11, are canceled.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Regarding the specification, on page 1, the statement of "in batch and" as recited in line 5 or in the Patent application publication # 2004/0170376, page 1, paragraph 0002 should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Regarding the abstract, the statement of "in batch" as recited in line 2 should be deleted. And after the statement of "desirable channel." as recited in line 10, the statement of "It then allows . . . to the end" should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 2631

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 FR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 12, and 13 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 – 6, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,714,604 B1. The statements of "in batch" as recited in line 7 of claim 1, line 7 of claim 2, line 7 of claim 3, line 7 of claim 4, line 7 of claim 5, and line 7 of claim 6 of U.S. Patent # 6,714,604 B1 do not change the function of the receiver. Since it is capable of performing the same function, hence claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 12, and 13 of the current application are not patentably distinct.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3010. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 17:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Vanderpuye Kenneth N. can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone numbers for this group is (571) 273-3021.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

DXN

July 22, 2005

KENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER